

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/828,978	04/21/2004	Xiaozhou Wang	JP920030138US1 5356	
25259 IBM CORPOR	7590 01/17/2007 ATION		EXAM	INER
3039 CORNW	ALLIS RD.	HONEYCUTT, KRISTINA B		
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2.102.11.01.	·		2178	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the Filing o	f an Appeal	Brief		

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/828,978	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kristina B. Honeycutt	2178		

	Kristina B. Honeycutt	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.115	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,8-11 and 14.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding independent claim 1, applicants indicate that Kusuda does not teach or suggest the added limitation of inviting clients to join a virtual shopping session (p.2, para. 3-4). The Examiner disagrees because Kusuda discloses a server suitable for use in a collaboration system employing a communication network, said server comprising a group session control unit for inviting clients to join a virtual shopping session, for creating a group session by integrating a plurality of individual sessions and for passing a command to an application execution unit (Figure 1, 2; p.1, para. 4, 5; p.5, para. 53, 55; p.6, para. 62, 63; p.7, para. 68; p.10, para. 107). In other words, collaborations are performed on a customer counseling system's webpage, which is a virtual shopping session since there are clients (customers) interacting with agents (the business) who are providing a service to the clients. A customer uses the system, which connects the customer terminal to an agent terminal that an agent corresponding to the customer's consultation uses, so the agent has been invited based on the specific customer that uses the collaboration system (p.1, para. 4).

Independent claims 8 and 14, the claims include similar limitations to independent claim 1 and are rejected based on the rationale of the rejection above.

Dependent claims 2-4, 9-11 depend from independent claims 1 and 8 and are rejected at least based on the rationale of the rejection above..

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER